Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-24

Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2011-09-16

Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-07-23

Date of Last Revision: 2012-05-22

Agency: 009 - Department of Health and Human Services **Bureau:** 90 - Departmental Management

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: OS ASFR HHS Consolidated Acquisition Solution

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 009-000004658

Section B: Investment Detail

1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) acquisition community lacked a consistent, integrated procurement system across HHS. The operational contracting offices used different systems and manual processes to support acquisitions, which complicated interfaces to financial and other HHS systems. To achieve its acquisition system standardization goals, HHS consolidated multiple configurations of HHS' COTS PRISM application. This consolidation effort is referred to as the HHS Consolidated Acquisition Solution (HCAS). HHS has deployed HCAS to the HHS contracting offices (excluding NIH, CMS, and CDC). The implementation of HCAS leveraged the Integrated Acquisition Environment e-gov initiative. HCAS was implemented on February 11, 2009. Operational and maintenance duties for the system were transferred to the Financial Enterprise Systems Management office under the PSC.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

This investment serves as an information resource and technology that is aligned with HHS' enterprise architecture to realize HHS' strategic goals and objectives. In addition, this

cost-effective investment enhances the management and promotion of HHS business through the reduction of paper-based environments. It also represents responsible HHS stewardship over taxpayer dollars by unifying systems, reducing duplication of efforts, and stabilizing the technical environment. The data collected via this investment supports HHS' analyses and assists in effective long-range planning for other investments. This investment facilitates HHS' knowledge sharing, E-Government, and the sharing of data with citizens, customers, employees, Federal, State, local governments, and USA Spending.gov. Therefore, not fully funding this investment would jeopardize the efficiency and effectiveness of HHS' acquisition performance which supports Department's mission.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

This investment is in a steady state. Accomplishments in the PY are comprised of the scheduled upgrades and service packs developed and released by the vendor as this is a COTS product.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Planned accomplishments for the CY include an upgrade from the current version in Production; 6.5 to a more current release, 7.1. Improvements include enhancements to the user interface, addressing previously identified functionality drawbacks and a strengthened security protocol.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2010-12-21

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding								
	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013				
Planning Costs:	\$3.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0				
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$21.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0				
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0				
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$24.8	0	0	0				
O & M Costs:	\$13.3	\$8.1	\$7.3	\$7.3				
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2				
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$13.5	\$8.3	\$7.5	\$7.5				
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$38.3	\$8.3	\$7.5	\$7.5				
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2				
# of FTE rep by costs:	10	2	2	2				
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$-1.0	\$-1.9					
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-10.80%	-20.45%					

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The summary of funding for PY2011 and CY2012 has been updated to remove previously planned DME activities that were not funded. The previously planned DME was for support of the Oracle Compusearch Interface. In addition, the CY2012 budget request to the SSF was lowered due to anticipated budget cuts to programs and agencies across the department, and as part of the PSC "SMART" program which is aimed at cost reduction. Essentially, we need to focus on sustainment based on budget realities.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy											
Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Туре	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	7555	HHSP23320093 0010B/HHSP23 337003	GS35F4594G	4730							
Awarded	7555	HSSP23320095 604WI/HHSP23 3200900013U	GS34D449D	4730							
Awarded	7555	HSSP23320080 5201SB/HHSP2 3300800001	GS35F0195T	4730							
Awarded	7555	HHSP2332010 00067G	GS35F0585J	4730							
Awarded	7555	HHSP23320063 0003B/HHSP23 337002	GS35F0060L	4730							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Currently, the investment is entering the steady-state of its life-cycle. Per ANSI 748-A, contracts related to O&M support do not require earned value management.

Page 5 / 7 of Section 300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-05-22 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects								
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)			
	NONE							

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities	End Point Schedule Variance	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
		(\$M)	(in days)		· ,	(,		

NONE

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)

NONE

Page 6 / 7 of Section 300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-05-22 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics								
Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Percent of FAR FACs deployed to HCAS within 30 business days of receipt from the software vendor.	Percent	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	99.900000	99.900000	99.900000	99.900000	Semi-Annual
Percent of users satisfied with the manner in which the system fulfills its intended purpose (features, capabilities, mission support) based on results of User Satisfaction Survey.	Percent	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	0.00000	0.00000		70.000000	Semi-Annual
Financial Systems will be available 24/7, excluding scheduled business/system maintenance and network outages.	Percent	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	99.800000	99.800000	99.870000	99.800000	Monthly
Critical correspondence (calls/tickets/e-mails) will be responded to and triaged within 1 business hour.	Percent	Technology - Efficiency	Over target	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	Monthly
High correspondence (calls/tickets/e-mails) will be responded to and triaged within 8 business hours.	Percent	Technology - Efficiency	Over target	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	Monthly